## **Methoxyphenyldiazirine as Precursor to Methoxyphenylcarbene**

**Joanna Wtostowska, Robert A. Moss," Wenjeng Guo, and Ming Jing Chang** 

*Department of Chemistry, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903, U. S. A.* 

Reaction of bromo(pheny1)diazirine (2) with methoxide ion gives methoxy(pheny1)diazirine **(3),** which yields cyclopropanes **(4)** on photolysis in alkenes.

Methoxyphenylcarbene **(1)** is well known in its metal-carbene complex form. Cyclopropanations of electron-deficient alkenes have been achieved upon thermolyses of the pentacarbonyl Cr, Mo, and **W** carbene complexes; although mechanistic studies suggest that these cyclopropanations occur by ligand transfer within carbene-alkene-metal complexes, not *via* the free carbene.<sup>1</sup>

The chemistry of the free carbene is problematical. Pyrolyses of the Na (or K) salt of PhC(OMe)=N-NHSO<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-p gave mainly PhC(OMe)=N-N=C(OMe)Ph.2 Cyclopropanes could not be isolated from similar pyrolyses in the presence of keten dialkylacetal or cyclohexene carbene traps,<sup>2,3</sup> although pyrolysis in dec-1 -ene afforded **4** % of 1 -methoxy-1 -phenyl-2 n-octylcyclopropane isomers.<sup>3</sup> On the other hand, cyclopropanation of dialkyl fumarates occurred readily on thermolysis in the presence of  $PhC(OMe) = N-NHSO<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-*p*$  salts<sup>3</sup> or of  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -dimethoxybenzyltriphenylsilane.<sup>4</sup> More recently, pyrolysis of PhC(OMe)=N-N=PPh<sub>3</sub> was shown to afford a complicated product mixture attributed to the carbene **(1).6** 

We now report an unprecedented reaction of bromo- (pheny1)diazirine **(2)6** with methoxide ion, which affords **methoxy(pheny1)diazirine (3)** ; the latter yields cyclopropanes **(4)** upon photolysis in simple alkenes (Scheme 1).

A solution of **(2)** (10 mmol) in dimethylacetamide **(2** ml) was slowly added to a mechanically stirred solution of NaOMe (22 mmol) in dimethylacetamide-hexamethylphosphoric triamide<br>(30 ml; 1:1; DMA-HMPT) at -10 °C. After 1 h of stirring (30 ml; 1:1; DMA-HMPT) at  $-10$  °C. After 1 h of stirring at  $-10$  °C, the yellow-green methoxy(phenyl)diazirine (3) was obtained by pentane extraction  $(3 \times 50 \text{ ml})$ , drying (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and concentration *in vacuo*  $(-10^{\circ}C)$  to 5 ml.<sup>†</sup> The i.r. spectrum (film, precooled plate) of a concentrated solution of (3) revealed a band at  $1570 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (N=N), similar to those for  $(2)$  (1555 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and chloro(phenyl)diazirine (1560 cm<sup>-1</sup>);<sup>6</sup> the  $2000 - 2400$  cm<sup>-1</sup> region was blank, demonstrating the absence



of a diazoalkane. Its n.m.r. spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>; -10 °C; Me<sub>4</sub>Si ref.) showed Me0 (6 **3.28)** and aromatic resonances. Its U.V. spectrum  $(-30 \degree C;$  pentane) revealed maxima at 368 and **383** nm, with significant, though decreasing, absorption at *ca.* **450** nm. Sample instability prevented an accurate determination of *E* values. Chloro- and bromo-(pheny1)diazirines are reported to exhibit 'broad' u.v. absorptions with  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  388 nm.<sup>6</sup>

Photolysis **(G.** E. Sunlamp; Pyrex tube; 0 **"C;** 3 h) of **(3)** in ethanol gave benzaldehyde ethyl methyl acetal *(5)* in 26% yield based on the diazirine **(2).** Gas chromatography on **SE-30**  at 115 °C gave a pure samplet with a definitive n.m.r. spectrum. Reaction of the carbene **(1)** with methanol affords benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal, an analogue of **(5).2** 

<sup>-</sup>f The diazirine **(3)** is thermally and photochemically unstable; all operations must be performed at *<O "C* and in dim light. Reactions **of (3)** employed the concentrated pentane extract.

 $†$  A satisfactory elemental analysis was obtained.

Similar photolyses of **(3)** in isobutene, trans-but-2-ene, and trimethylethylene afforded the cyclopropanes **(4a-c)** $\ddagger$  in 12, 7, and 15% yields, respectively, based on (2); Scheme 1. Although the yields are low, cyclopropanes were the major volatile

## $PhCH(OMe)(OE)$  PhCH(OMe)C(Me<sub>2</sub>)C(Me)=CH<sub>2</sub> **(5)** *(6)*

products in all cases,\$ and were readily purified by g.c. Structures were established by elemental analysis and n.m.r. spectroscopy. The cyclopropane **(4a),** for example, showed 6 (CC14; Me,Si) 0.72 (s, **3H,** CMe), **0.52, 0.62,** and **0.83, 0.93**  (AB **q,** J 6 Hz, 2H, CH,), 1.30 (s, **3H,** CMe), **3.07 (s, 3H,**  OMe), and **7.33** ('s', 5H, Ph). Reaction with tetramethylethylene did not afford the expected cyclopropane (4d). Instead, g.c. isolation (SE-30; **140** "C) gave a product tentatively assigned the alkene structure *(6),* which can be construed as a rearrangement product of **(4d).** The n.m.r. spectrum of the initial photolysis product indicated that (6) was already the major constituent; little (4d) could have been present.

There is direct spectroscopic evidence for formation of chlorophenylcarbene upon photolysis of phenylchlorodiazirine,<sup>8</sup> so that it is reasonable to suggest that photolysis of **(3)** affords the carbene **(l),** the proximate precursor of the cyclopropanes **(4).** The mechanism of the conversion of the bromodiazirine **(2)** into the methoxydiazirine **(3),** however, is obscure. A simple  $S_{\rm N}1$  process would require the intermediacy of a high-energy<sup>9</sup> (phenyl)diazirinium cation. Though postulated,<sup>6</sup> diazirinium ions have thus far eluded experimental detection.1° A pathway involving an intimate diazirinium cation-bromide ion pair is most likely for this unusual conversion.

We thank the National Science Foundation for financial support.

*Received, 9th December 198I* ; *Corn. I416* 

## **References**

- K. **H. Dotz** and E. 0. Fischer, *Chem. Ber.,* **1972, 105, 1356; E. 0.** Fischer, and **K. H.** Dotz, *ibid.,* **1972, 105, 3966;** M. D. Cooke and E. 0. Fischer, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* **1973,56,279.**
- **R.** M. McDonald and R. **A.** Krueger, *J. Org. Chern.,* **1966,31, 488.**
- **R. J.** Ctawford and R. Raap, *Can. J. Chem.,* **1965, 43, 356.**
- **A.** G. Brook and **P.** J. Dillon, *Can. J. Chem..* **1969. 47, 4347.**
- *5 G.* **B.** Merrill and **H.** Shechter, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* **1975; 4527.**
- *6* W. **H.** Graham, *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC., 1965, 87,4396.**
- **7** R. **A.** Moss and R. Gerstl, *Tetrahedron,* **1966,** *22,* **2637.**
- **8** N. **J.** Turro, J. **A.** Butcher, Jun., R. **A.** Moss, W. Guo, R. C. Munjal, and **M.** Fedorynski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **1980,** *102,*  **7576.**
- **9** K. Krogh-Jespersen, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* **1980, 21, 4553.**
- **10** R. **A.** Moss, J. Wlostowska, W. Guo, **M.** Fedorynski, J. **P.**  Springer, and J. M. Hirshfield, *J. Org. Chem.,* **1981, 46, 5048.**

<sup>\$</sup>Identified volatile side products [yields based on **(2)** for the reaction with isobutene] included benzaldehyde **(2%),** benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal (5%), and methyl benzoate  $\left(\langle 1\frac{1}{6}\rangle\right)$ ; these are attributable to reactions of (1).<sup>2,3,5</sup> The phenylbromocarbene adduct of isobutene was absent (n.m.r.).7 Non-volatile side products included PhC(OMe)=N-N=C(OMe)Ph **(1 %)3** and the formal dimers of  $(1)$   $\left(\frac{<1}{<}\right)$ .